

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,960	03/24/2004	Robert Woller	KH0658US (#90636)	4089
28672	7590 11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
D. PETER HOCHBERG CO. L.P.A. 1940 EAST 6TH STREET			BASICHAS, ALFRED	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3749	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/807,960	WOLLER, ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alfred Basichas	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	<u>larch 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-18</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3 and 6</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document		·				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	od.				
	·					
Attachment(s)	-					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/24/04</u> .	. —	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/807,960 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harff (3,452,736), which shows all of the claimed limitations. Harff shows, among other things, a support system for a portable grill including a grill bottom 32, a first rack 36, the grill bottom having a set of coplanar openings 31, a set of legs 12 with support members 30 for extending through the openings in the bottom for supporting the rack by gravity without any additional fasteners, and wherein the legs are fastenable, via 14, to each other separate from the grill bottom. While Harff does not specifically recite that the legs are metal, it is nevertheless inherent and at least supported by the cross sectional view of figure 3 where it is clear from the cross hatching drawn in the legs is the similar to that of fastener 14, specifically recited to be metal (col. 1, lines 69,70).

Application/Control Number: 10/807,960 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harff (3,452,736) in view of Glaser (3,611,915). Harff does not specifically recite toggle latches for latching the legs to the grill cover. Glaser teaches a latching member 27,27' for latching the legs to the cover for convenient positioning of the cover. While Glaser does not specifically recite the term toggle, giving the term its broadest reasonable interpretation, the structure satisfies the limitation.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 8-18 are allowed. It should be noted that the preamble recitation was given weight as the body of the claims depend on the preamble for completeness.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,960 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

7. Claims 2, 3, and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior Art

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. These references disclose grills with many of the claimed components. Nevertheless, in order to avoid overburdening the applicant with redundant rejections, these references were not applied.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 571 272 4871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571 272 4828. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306.

Art Unit: 3749

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center telephone number is 571 272 3700.

November 8, 2005

Alther Basichas Primary Examiner